

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“ That leave be granted to introduce The Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners’ Registration and Medical Practitioners’ Miscellaneous Provisions (Second Amendment) Bill, 1966.”

The motion was adopted

Sri K. NAGAPPA ALVA —I introduce the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before I go to the next item, I would like to know the sense of the House. We were not able to finish the Bill yesterday. So, it is included in the agenda today. Then, there are the Supplementary Demands and they are being discussed in the Legislative Council and the discussion would be over there to-day. I do not want any of the members to be unaware of that and later on express a grievance or anything like that. Supplementary Demands can be taken up now and we can finish them today and this can be taken up after that.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Yes, Sir. Supplementary Demands must get the priority over other business because it is a financial matter.

(Sir S. Gopala Gowda interrupted)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am trying to reconcile and hon’ble members should have patience. Now, Supplementary Demands are being discussed in the other House. But, it leads to the position that it will be discussed there first. I am trying to get it solved.

† Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Home).—I understand that yesterday, when a statement was made by the hon’ble Minister for Food, certain anxieties were expressed in regard to eliciting information other than what had been given in that statement. To-day, some time is given to elicit that information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But there are two things. The Supplementary Demands which are being discussed in the Upper House will be concluded today. I recollect, on a prior occasion when the Council had an advantage of discussing the Supplementary Demands and it was being given to the Members of the Legislative Assembly at a later time, it was felt that it was not fair ; that was the grievance. These are all matters which should be co-ordinated by the Whips and I must be informed and then I can issue the agenda. Since last evening and this morning many times I have attempted for this.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The position is, in the agenda that is issued to us, I found the continuance of the Debate on the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also there because it was issued after the main agenda was issued. At that time I was not aware of these Supplementary Demands. Then the Leader of Opposition came to me and he raised the point. Now, I am seeking the guidance of the House; not only the desire of the House in deciding this but the House will also consider the convenience. If the Hon'ble Minister for Finance has got any inconvenience.....

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Hon'ble Minister for Finance is in the other House at the moment deputising the Chief Minister in answering a question. I have myself got a question to be answered on the floor of the Legislative Council and I am not able to leave this House because I do not know when my Bill would be taken up.

Mr. SPEAKER.—These are all things which are to be discussed and adjusted before we assemble.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, the Supplementary Demands relate to a financial matter and this House must have the first opportunity to express its views on it.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What I was saying was that the Bill for which just one hour had been set apart out of two hours originally allowed by the Business Advisory Committee, that one hour was taken out yesterday. I believe, one hon. member who was speaking on this has not concluded his speech. I do not think it will take more than a few minutes for the consideration of this Bill. It is a very important Bill no doubt. Therefore, it was taken up yesterday and it has been going on. I would suggest that the consideration of this Bill be concluded and another business that has been put on the agenda for the day may be taken up.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, the Supplementary Demands must be taken up first and the inconclusive debate on the Bill may be taken up tomorrow and the whole day must be given for the Supplementary Demands.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why not the members who want this co-ordination do it among themselves and let me know? Now, Sri G. V. Gowda had not concluded his speech on the Bill and he may continue.

2-30 P.M.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—On a point of order, Sir. The Supplementary Estimates, as you said, are going to be discussed in the Legislative Council and the Finance Minister while replying to those supplementary estimates will say something about the Government's policies regarding financial measures. That announcement about financial measures will be made first to the Legislative Council and that will become stale when it comes before this House. This House has the right to vote and must

(SRI S. SIVAPPA)

have the opportunity to discuss the financial estimates first. So, if everything is over and reply is made and then we have to take it up, it will become stale and the priority to be given to this House, will be lost. I think this should not become a bad precedent.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—It is an accepted principle that as far as possible the lower House must get the earliest opportunity to debate and vote the supplementary demands. Of course there is that qualification “as far as possible”. But, whenever work of the Government is arranged, it can be so arranged that the lower House must get priority and first opportunity to discuss the financial estimates and subsequently it should go before the other House. I am suggesting this not that we are under-estimating the efficacy of the debate that will go on elsewhere. Apart from whatever understanding we may have, the last evening—the Minister for Home pointed out that there was an understanding that the Food Minister would answer any questions that might come from the House—I would appeal to you that you may kindly direct the Government to move the supplementary estimates for the voting of this House.

Sri V. M. DEO (Gubbi).—I would like to say just one more point. It will be very bad if we hustle through the Bill. That is one consideration which should weigh with you.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a very important matter. I am going to study and give a ruling a little later. In the meanwhile the Deputy Speaker will be in the Chair. Now Sri G. V. Gowda will continue.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER in the Chair]

MYSORE STATE CIVIL SERVICES (PREVENTION OF STRIKES) BILL, 1966.

Motion to consider—(Contd.)

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Therefore, any law which seeks to impose any unreasonable restriction cannot stand. It is said that any person who incites is punishable. We have to see whether the restrictions imposed in clauses 5, 6 and 7 are reasonable or beyond our competence. In fact in one case it has been held that a law which seeks to put restrictions on the freedom of speech and expression which may not undermine the security of the State or tend to overthrow the State cannot claim the protection of Clause (2) of Art. 19 :

“Therefore, a law which imposes restrictions on the freedom of speech and expression for preventing a breach of public safety or public order which may not undermine the security of the